

SEMESTER: SPRING 2007

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT

June 7, 2007

12214530

STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 39 COMPLETED FORMS: 23

## INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Allen, Robert F  
COLLEGE: College of Science  
DEPT: Mathematics  
COURSE: MATH 106  
SECTION: 012

## RESPONSE KEY

S. . . . STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5)  
 . . . . . (4)  
 . . . . . (3)  
 . . . . . (2)  
 D. . . STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1)  
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

## DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	1	2	3	4	5
Am't of effort put into the course: 1 very low 5=very high	0	0	2	10	11
Times absent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more	11	11	1	0	0
Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A	0	0	4	9	9
Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad	12	2	6	3	0
Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4	0	1	8	7	6
Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other	0	16	0	7	0

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
1. Course re'mts were clearly stated in the syllabus	0.0 1.0 1.0 0.9	0.0 1.3 1.4 1.7	0.0 7.0 5.7 5.8	0.0 23.3 21.1 21.7	100.0 66.5 70.5 69.6	0.0 0.8 0.4 0.3	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	5.00 4.54 4.59 4.58	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.00 0.77 0.74 0.76	23 1,937 10,072 69,660
2. The course was well organized	0.0 1.9 2.1 1.8	0.0 3.9 3.3 3.2	0.0 11.7 9.8 9.7	0.0 26.2 24.6 24.8	100.0 56.2 60.2 60.3	0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	5.00 4.31 4.38 4.39	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.00 0.96 0.93 0.92	23 1,925 9,986 69,106
3. The instructor explained the material clearly	0.0 4.8 2.7 2.0	0.0 6.8 4.5 4.0	0.0 14.7 11.9 11.1	4.3 25.3 25.2 25.6	95.7 48.4 55.5 57.2	0.0 0.1 0.2 0.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.96 4.06 4.27 4.32	5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0	0.21 1.16 1.01 0.96	23 1,931 10,065 69,499
4. Comments and suggestions on returned material helpfu	0.0 4.1 3.3 2.6	0.0 6.8 5.3 4.4	0.0 16.2 12.9 11.3	4.3 23.4 22.0 22.3	95.7 45.1 48.9 51.7	0.0 4.5 7.6 7.6	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.96 4.03 4.17 4.26	5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0	0.21 1.14 1.09 1.03	23 1,925 9,994 69,031
5. The instructor showed respect for students	0.0 1.4 1.5 1.1	0.0 1.7 1.8 1.6	0.0 6.2 5.3 4.9	4.3 19.3 16.7 16.1	95.7 71.4 74.6 76.3	0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.96 4.58 4.61 4.65	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.21 0.79 0.79 0.75	23 1,920 9,967 68,675
6. The instructor was acces- sible in person or electron	0.0 1.3 1.5 1.2	0.0 3.3 2.4 2.0	0.0 9.3 7.6 6.8	0.0 21.0 19.4 19.4	100.0 61.6 66.5 68.3	0.0 3.6 2.5 2.2	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	5.00 4.43 4.51 4.55	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.00 0.90 0.86 0.81	23 1,907 9,921 68,413
7. The instructor followed the stated crs. grading p'l	0.0 0.6 0.9 0.8	0.0 0.8 1.2 1.1	0.0 5.0 5.2 5.0	4.3 21.3 19.3 18.6	95.7 70.7 72.2 70.5	0.0 1.6 1.1 4.0	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.96 4.63 4.62 4.63	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.21 0.67 0.73 0.71	23 1,928 10,019 69,097
8. The exams reflected what was covered in the course	0.0 1.7 1.6 1.3	4.3 2.6 2.8 2.2	0.0 8.3 8.2 6.5	4.3 21.6 20.1 17.9	91.3 63.3 59.5 54.8	0.0 2.5 7.8 17.4	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.83 4.46 4.44 4.49	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.64 0.89 0.90 0.87	23 1,910 9,916 68,291
9. The as'mnts helped me learn the material	0.0 3.1 2.7 2.1	0.0 4.5 4.3 3.7	0.0 13.2 11.4 10.6	8.7 24.2 23.8 23.8	82.6 49.3 51.0 55.1	8.7 5.7 6.8 4.7	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.90 4.19 4.25 4.32	5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	0.32 1.05 1.03 0.97	23 1,922 9,970 68,824
10. Readings helped me understa the course topic	0.0 3.9 2.7 2.4	0.0 5.9 4.5 4.3	0.0 14.0 13.8 12.7	4.3 22.4 24.6 25.3	78.3 40.3 48.4 50.4	17.4 13.5 6.0 4.9	CLASS DEPT/PROGRAM COL/SCHL/INST UNIVERSITY	4.95 4.03 4.19 4.23	5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0	0.24 1.14 1.04 1.01	23 1,909 9,930 68,560

SEMESTER: SPRING 2007

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT  
STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 39    COMPLETED FORMS: 23

June 7, 2007  
12214530

## INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Allen, Robert F  
COLLEGE: College of Science  
DEPT: Mathematics  
COURSE: MATH 106  
SECTION: 012

## RESPONSE KEY

S. . . . STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5)  
 . . . . . (4)  
 . . . . . (3)  
 . . . . . (2)  
 D. . . STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1)  
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
11. Ass'mnts and exams were returned properly	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.0	CLASS	4.91	5.0	0.31	22
	1.5	2.1	8.0	24.1	62.9	1.5	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.47	5.0	0.85	1,895
	2.0	2.5	7.9	20.5	65.0	2.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.47	5.0	0.90	9,892
	2.1	2.9	8.0	21.0	59.6	6.4	UNIVERSITY	4.42	5.0	0.93	68,077
12. The ins'tr covered the im'p aspect of the course	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	95.7	0.0	CLASS	4.96	5.0	0.21	23
	1.5	2.3	8.3	24.5	63.1	0.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.46	5.0	0.86	1,920
	1.3	1.9	6.8	22.4	67.1	0.5	COL/SCHL/INST	4.53	5.0	0.81	10,020
	1.1	1.9	6.9	22.5	67.1	0.5	UNIVERSITY	4.53	5.0	0.80	69,276
13. The ins'tr made the class intellectually stimulating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	CLASS	5.00	5.0	0.00	23
	5.9	6.8	15.4	24.6	47.2	0.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.00	4.0	1.20	1,908
	3.9	5.0	12.5	23.9	54.5	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.20	5.0	1.09	9,948
	3.3	4.5	11.3	23.0	57.6	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.28	5.0	1.04	68,843
14. The ins'tr encouraged the students to be involved	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	95.7	0.0	CLASS	4.96	5.0	0.21	23
	4.6	7.8	17.6	22.0	45.8	2.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	3.99	4.0	1.18	1,906
	3.5	5.3	13.2	21.7	54.4	1.9	COL/SCHL/INST	4.21	5.0	1.09	9,912
	2.1	3.7	10.0	21.0	62.3	0.8	UNIVERSITY	4.39	5.0	0.96	68,530

## OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) POOR	(2)	(3) OK	(4)	(5) EX'L	(6) NA					
15. My overall rating of the teaching	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	95.5	0.0	CLASS	4.91	5.0	0.44	22
	4.3	5.4	16.2	25.2	48.8	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.09	4.0	1.12	1,898
	3.0	3.5	12.4	24.8	56.2	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.28	5.0	1.01	9,864
	2.2	3.1	11.4	24.7	58.6	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.34	5.0	0.95	68,344
16. My overall rating of this course	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.1	81.8	0.0	CLASS	4.73	5.0	0.62	22
	3.4	6.6	23.3	29.6	37.1	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	3.91	4.0	1.08	1,875
	3.3	5.2	19.8	29.3	42.4	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.02	4.0	1.06	9,751
	2.6	4.3	16.6	29.3	47.2	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.14	4.0	1.01	67,562

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.